

THE RADIAL MASA IN A FREE GROUP FACTOR IS MAXIMAL INJECTIVE

Jan Cameron
jcameron@math.tamu.edu

Junsheng Fang
jfang@math.tamu.edu

Mohan Ravichandran
mohanr@cisunix.unh.edu

Stuart White
s.white@maths.gla.ac.uk

Abstract

The radial (or Laplacian) masa in a free group factor is the abelian von Neumann algebra generated by the sum of the generators (of the free group) and their inverses. The main result of this paper is that the radial masa is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of a free group factor. We establish this result by showing that sequences centralising the radial masa have an orthogonality property in the ultrapower, using a basis introduced by Rădulescu. We also investigate tensor products of maximal injective algebras. Given two inclusions $B_i \subset M_i$ of type I von Neumann algebras in finite von Neumann algebras such that each B_i is maximal injective in M_i , we show that the tensor product $B_1 \overline{\otimes} B_2$ is maximal injective in $M_1 \overline{\otimes} M_2$ provided at least one of the inclusions satisfies the asymptotic orthogonality property we establish for the radial masa. In particular it follows that finite tensor products of generator and radial masas will be maximal injective in the corresponding tensor product of free group factors.

1 Introduction

In [14], Popa showed that the von Neumann algebra generated by a single generator of a free group is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of the factor generated by that free group. That is, if $\mathbb{F}_K$ denotes the free group on K generators $a_1, \dots, a_K$, then the von Neumann subalgebra of the free group factor $\mathcal{LF}_K$ generated by a_1 is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{LF}_K$. This subalgebra is known as a generator masa (maximal abelian subalgebra) in $\mathcal{LF}_K$. There is another naturally occurring masa in the free group factor $\mathcal{LF}_K$: the radial masa generated by $\sum_{i=1}^K (a_i + a_i^{-1})$, which was shown to be maximal abelian by Pytlik in [17]. The main objective of this paper is to show that this radial masa gives another example of an abelian maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{LF}_K$.

The radial masa shares many properties with generator masas. Dixmier defined a masa A in a II_1 factor M to be *singular* if every unitary $u \in M$ with $uAu^* = A$ lies in A , and showed in [5] that a generator masa is singular. Singularity of the radial masa was established by Rădulescu by means of an intricate calculation in [18], which provides a central ingredient in this paper. An alternative combinatorial proof is given by Sinclair and Smith in [20].

To establish singularity of the radial masa, Rădulescu computed its Pukánszky invariant, historically the most successful invariant for singular masas (see [22] for a discussion of this invariant). The Pukánszky invariant of a generator masa is easily computed using the group-subgroup methods of [21] and both the radial and generator masas have Pukánszky invariant $\{\infty\}$. It is natural to ask whether these masas are conjugate in $\mathcal{LF}_K$. This seems unlikely, but we have been unable to find a proof. As noted in Proposition 3.1, it is easy to show that the radial masa is not *inner* conjugate to a generator masa in $\mathcal{LF}_K$.

Question 1.1. Does there exist an automorphism of $\mathcal{LF}_K$ which maps the radial masa onto a generator masa?

The critical ingredient in Popa's proof of the maximal injectivity of a generator masa B in a free group factor $\mathcal{LF}_K$ is an asymptotic orthogonality property at the Hilbert space level. Lemma 2.1 of [14] shows that if x_1, x_2 are elements of an ultrapower $(\mathcal{LF}_K)^\omega$ with $E_{B^\omega}(x_1) = E_{B^\omega}(x_2) = 0$ and y_1, y_2 are elements of $\mathcal{LF}_K$ with $E_B(y_1) = E_B(y_2) = 0$, then $x_1 y_1$ is orthogonal to $y_2 x_2$ in the Hilbert space $L^2((\mathcal{LF}_K)^\omega)$. To do this, Popa first shows that those elements $x \in \mathcal{LF}_K$ which approximately commute with the generator a_1 and are orthogonal to B must be essentially supported on the collection of words which begin and end with large powers of a_1 or a_1^{-1} . The asymptotic orthogonality follows when y_1, y_2 are group elements in $\mathbb{F}_K \setminus \{a_1^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and a density argument then gives the result for general y_1 and y_2 (see also [22, Section 14.2]).

Most of this paper is taken up with establishing an asymptotic orthogonality condition for the radial masa (Theorem 6.2). This is the key step in proving maximal injectivity. The natural orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K)$ does not behave well in calculations involving the radial masa, however to prove that the radial masa is singular Rădulescu introduced in [18] a collection of vectors which form a basis (albeit not quite an orthonormal basis) for $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K)$ which can be thought of as spanning double cosets coming from the radial masa. In Section 3, we set up notation for discussing the radial masa and describe the properties of Rădulescu's basis. In Section 4, we establish the essential location of the support of an element x in $\mathcal{LF}_K$ which is both orthogonal to the radial masa and approximately commutes with it. We do this in Lemma 4.3, the proof of which is contained in the lemmas preceding it. Section 5 contains the second step of [14, Lemma 2.1] for the radial masa. In this section, we use techniques from [20] to count certain words in $\mathbb{F}_K$ which we use in Section 6 to establish the asymptotic orthogonality result from the results of Section 4. All of the calculations described above are performed in $\mathcal{LF}_K \overline{\otimes} N$, where N is an arbitrary finite von Neumann algebra. The only additional difficulties this introduces are notational, and it enables us to show that certain tensor products involving the radial masa are also maximal injective, as described below.

In [14, 4.5 (1)], Popa asked how maximal injective von Neumann algebras behave under tensor products. That is, if $B_i \subset M_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) are two inclusions of von Neumann algebras with B_i a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of M_i , must $B_1 \overline{\otimes} B_2$ be a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of $M_1 \overline{\otimes} M_2$? Various authors have subsequently worked on this question. The first progress was made by Ge and Kadison, who in [9] gave a positive answer when $B_1 = M_1$ is an injective factor. This result was subsequently improved by Strătilă and Zsidó who removed the factor assumption to show ([24, Theorem 6.7]) that if M_1 is an injective von Neumann algebra and M_2 is a von Neumann algebra with a separable

predual, then $M_1 \overline{\otimes} B_2$ is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of $M_1 \overline{\otimes} M_2$ whenever B_2 is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of M_2 . In [19], Shen investigated the tensor product of copies of a generator masa inside the a free group factor, answering Popa's question positively in this case. He was also able to obtain a positive result for an infinite tensor product of generator masas and so obtain an example of an abelian subalgebra which is maximal injective in a McDuff II_1 factor. In [19], it was necessary to develop an additional orthogonality condition styled upon [14, Lemma 2.1] for tensor products of generator masas. Recent progress was made by the second author, who in [7] gave a positive answer to Popa's question in the case that $Z(B_1)$ is atomic and M_1 has a separable predual.

In Section 2, we examine how asymptotic orthogonality properties based on [14, Lemma 2.1] imply maximal injectivity. Using Popa's intertwining lemma and ingredients from [7, 19], we are able to give a technical improvement of the argument which deduces the maximal injectivity of the generator masa from the asymptotic orthogonality condition. This argument doesn't require any further assumptions on the masa beyond singularity and is also applicable to the tensor product of maximal injective algebras, provided we can establish a slightly stronger asymptotic orthogonality condition (the asymptotic orthogonality property of Definition 2.1) which in all known cases is established by exactly the same argument used to establish the appropriate version of [14, Lemma 2.1]. In particular, it follows that if $A \subset M$ is a singular masa of a II_1 factor with the asymptotic orthogonality property, such as a generator masa or the radial masa in a free group factor, and $B \subset N$ is a maximal injective injective von Neumann subalgebra of a II_1 factor with B of type I, then $A \overline{\otimes} B$ is maximal injective in $M \overline{\otimes} N$ (Theorem 2.8, taking A to be a masa). This result strengthens Shen's finite tensor product results from [19] and enlarges the class of positive answers to Popa's question.

The paper concludes with Section 7, which contains some final remarks. We show that the additional ingredients Popa uses with asymptotic orthogonality to show a generator masa is maximal injective, follow from maximal injectivity and Ozawa's solidity of the free group factors introduced in [11]. In this way, we see that these properties of the generator masas are also satisfied by the radial masa. We end with a brief discussion of maximal nuclearity of the generator and radial masas in the reduced group C^* -algebras of free groups.

Acknowledgement: The work in this paper originated during a visit of the fourth author to the University of New Hampshire in April 2008. He would like to thank the faculty and students of the Department of Mathematics, and in particular Don Hadwin, for their hospitality during this visit. The authors would also like to thank Simon Wassermann for simulating conversations regarding maximal nuclear C^* -subalgebras of C^* -algebras.

2 Asymptotic Orthogonality and Maximal Injectivity

We begin by making a technical definition which can be used to deduce maximal injectivity for von Neumann subalgebras of type I.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a type I von Neumann subalgebra of a type II_1 von Neumann algebra M with a fixed faithful normal trace τ_M . Let N be a finite von Neumann algebra with a fixed faithful normal trace τ_N . Say that $A \subset M$ has the *asymptotic orthogonality*

property after tensoring by N if there is a non-principal ultrafilter $\omega \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^{(1)}y_1 \perp y_2x^{(2)}$ in $L^2((M \overline{\otimes} N)^\omega)$, whenever $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}$ are elements of $(A \overline{\otimes} \mathbb{C}1)' \cap (M \overline{\otimes} N)^\omega$ with $E_{(A \overline{\otimes} N)^\omega}(x^{(i)}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $y_1, y_2 \in M \overline{\otimes} N$ with $E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(y_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Say that A has the *asymptotic orthogonality property* if it has this property when $N = \mathbb{C}1$.

Note that the ultraproduct $(M \overline{\otimes} N)^\omega$ used in the definition above is constructed with respect to the product trace $\tau_M \otimes \tau_N$.

In section 2 of [14], Popa essentially shows that the generator masa inside a free group factor has the asymptotic orthogonality property. The arguments given in [14] (see also [22, Section 14.2]) also show that the generator masa has the asymptotic orthogonality property after tensoring by any N without further work. As noted in [14], given any separable diffuse type I von Neumann algebra $B \neq \mathbb{C}1$, one can form the free product $B * R$ and the methods of [14] show that B is maximal injective in $B * R$. Indeed, these inclusions $B \subset B * R$ have the asymptotic orthogonality property after tensoring by any N .

In this section we show how the maximal injectivity of a singular masa satisfying the asymptotic orthogonality property can be established without further hypothesis (Corollary 2.3). We also examine tensor products, showing how the asymptotic orthogonality property after tensoring by N can be used to show that certain tensor products $A \overline{\otimes} B$ of maximal injective subalgebras are again maximal injective. In subsequent sections we shall show that the radial masa in a free group factor also has the asymptotic orthogonality property after tensoring by any N . It will follow that finite tensor products of generator and radial masas are maximal injective. We need some technical observations, the first of which easily follows from Popa's intertwining lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let L be an injective type II_1 von Neumann algebra equipped with a fixed faithful normal trace. Let A be a type I von Neumann subalgebra of L . Then there exists a unitary $u \in L' \cap L^\omega$ with $E_{A^\omega}(u) = 0$.*

Proof. Since L is injective and so hyperfinite we can find a sequence (L_n) of finite dimensional subalgebras of L whose union is weakly dense in L . It suffices to show that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a unitary $u \in L$ with $\|E_A(u)\|_2 < \varepsilon$ and $u \in L'_n \cap L$. However, if there exists some n and $\varepsilon > 0$ for which no such unitary could be found, then Popa's intertwining lemma [16] (see also [3, Theorem F.12, 4 $\Rightarrow$ 1] for the exact statement we are using) shows that a corner of $L'_n \cap L$ embeds into A inside L . This can not happen, since $L'_n \cap L$ is necessarily type II_1 and A is type I_{fin} . $\square$

Corollary 2.3. *Let A be a singular masa in a II_1 von Neumann algebra M with the asymptotic orthogonality property. Then A is maximal injective.*

Proof. Let L be an injective von Neumann algebra with $A \subset L \subset M$. Let p be the maximal central projection in L so that Lp is type II_1 . Note that $p \in A$. If $p \neq 0$, use Lemma 2.2 to find a unitary u in $(Lp)' \cap (Lp)^\omega$ with $E_{A^\omega}(u) = 0$. Choosing some non-zero $v \in Lp$ with $E_A(v) = 0$, the asymptotic orthogonality property gives $uv \perp vu$. On the other hand $uv = vu$, so $uv = 0$ and $v = 0$, giving a contradiction. Hence $p = 0$ and L is a finite type I von Neumann algebra. By [10], A is regular in L (see also [19, Lemma 2.3], where this fact can be found explicitly), so by singularity of A in M it follows that $L = A$, as required. $\square$

The proof of our second technical observation, which allows us to handle tensor products, is contained in [19] modulo a theorem from [24]. We include the details for completeness.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $A \subset M$ and $B \subset N$ be two inclusions of finite von Neumann algebras with separable preduals. Suppose that $A' \cap M \subset A$ and A is type I and suppose that B is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of N . Let $A \overline{\otimes} B \subset L \subset M \overline{\otimes} N$ be an injective intermediate subalgebra. Then*

$$E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(L) = A \overline{\otimes} B.$$

Proof. Since A is finite and type I, it follows that $(A' \cap M)' \cap M = A$. Indeed if A is of type I_n , we can express A as $Z \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$ for some abelian Z and construct a corresponding factorisation $M = \tilde{M} \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$. Then $(A' \cap M) = (Z' \cap \tilde{M}) \otimes \mathbb{C}1$ so the condition that $A' \cap M \subset A$ implies that Z is a masa in $\tilde{M}$. Hence $(A' \cap M)' \cap M = (Z \otimes \mathbb{C}1)' \cap (\tilde{M} \otimes \mathbb{M}_n) = Z \otimes \mathbb{M}_n = A$. The general case follows by taking a direct sum.

Given $x \in L$, $E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(x)$ is the unique element of minimal $\|\cdot\|_2$ in $\overline{\text{co}}^2\{uxu^* : u \in \mathcal{U}((A' \cap M) \overline{\otimes} Z(N))\}$ as $((A' \cap M) \overline{\otimes} Z(N))' \cap (M \overline{\otimes} N) = A \overline{\otimes} N$. Since $(A' \cap M) \overline{\otimes} Z(N) \subset A \overline{\otimes} B \subset L$, it follows that $E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(L) \subset L$. In particular, if $x \in L$ has $E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(x) \notin A \overline{\otimes} B$, then we have

$$A \overline{\otimes} B \subsetneq (A \overline{\otimes} B, E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(x))'' \subseteq A \overline{\otimes} N,$$

where $(A \overline{\otimes} B, E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(x))''$ is a subalgebra of L and hence injective. This contradicts [24, Theorem 6.7], which shows that $A \overline{\otimes} B$ is maximal injective in $A \overline{\otimes} N$, whenever A is injective and B is maximal injective in a von Neumann algebra N with separable predual. $\square$

These ingredients enable us to gain control over certain intermediate injective subalgebras.

Lemma 2.5. *Let M, N be finite von Neumann algebras with separable preduals. Let A be a type I von Neumann subalgebra of M with $A' \cap M \subseteq A$ and the asymptotic orthogonality property after tensoring by N . Let B be a type I von Neumann subalgebra of N which is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of N . If L is an intermediate injective von Neumann algebra between $A \overline{\otimes} B$ and $M \overline{\otimes} N$, then L is necessarily type I.*

Proof. Let p be the maximal central projection of L such that Lp is type II_1 . Note that $p \in L' \cap (M \overline{\otimes} N) \subset A \overline{\otimes} B$. If $p \neq 0$, then Lemma 2.2 gives us a unitary $u \in (Lp)' \cap (Lp)^\omega$, with $E_{((A \overline{\otimes} B)p)^\omega}(u) = 0$, where the ultrapower $(Lp)^\omega$ is constructed from the product trace on $M \overline{\otimes} N$. Since $(A \overline{\otimes} B)p \subsetneq Lp$, there is some non-zero $v \in Lp$ with $E_{A \overline{\otimes} B}(v) = 0$. Regarding $u \in (M \overline{\otimes} N)^\omega$ and $v \in M \overline{\otimes} N$, we have

$$E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(v) = E_{A \overline{\otimes} B}E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(v) = E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}E_{A \overline{\otimes} B}(v) = 0,$$

where the first equality uses Lemma 2.4 to see that $E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(v) \in A \overline{\otimes} B$. By writing $u = (u_n)$ for some $u_n \in L$ with $E_{A \overline{\otimes} B}(u_n) = 0$ for all n , it follows that $E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(u_n) = 0$ for each n just as above and so $E_{(A \overline{\otimes} N)^\omega}(u) = 0$. As $A \subset M$ has asymptotic orthogonality property after tensoring by N , we have $uv \perp vu$. Since $u \in (Lp)' \cap (Lp)^\omega$ and $v \in Lp$, u and v commute. Therefore $uv = 0$ and hence $v = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore $p = 0$. $\square$

With the notation of the previous lemma, if we in addition assume that A is a singular masa with the asymptotic orthogonality property and B is a masa which is maximal injective, we can then deduce the maximal injectivity of $A \overline{\otimes} B$ in $M \overline{\otimes} N$ as follows. Suppose L is an injective von Neumann algebra between $A \overline{\otimes} B$ and $M \overline{\otimes} N$. By Lemma 2.5, L is a finite type I von Neumann algebra. Since B is singular in M (by virtue of being maximal injective, see [12, Lemma 3.6] for example), $A \overline{\otimes} B$ is singular in $M \overline{\otimes} N$ by [23, Corollary 2.4]. On the other hand, every masa in a finite type I von Neumann algebra is regular by [10] (see also [19, Lemma 2.3]). Ergo $L = A \overline{\otimes} B$.

Returning to the more general situation of type I algebras A and B , the argument of the previous paragraph breaks down as there need not be a non-trivial normalising unitary of $A \overline{\otimes} B$ in L . Indeed, take P to be $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}1$, naturally embedded inside $Q = \mathbb{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$, then $P' \cap Q \subseteq P$ but every normalising unitary of P in Q lies in P . To circumvent this difficulty, we need to use *groupoid normalisers*. Recall that if $P \subset Q$ is an inclusion of von Neumann algebras with $P' \cap Q \subseteq P$, then a partial isometry $v \in Q$ is a *groupoid normaliser* of P if $vPv^* \subseteq P$ and $v^*Pv \subseteq P$. We write $\mathcal{GN}_Q(P)$ for the collection of all groupoid normalisers. Recall too that if A is a singular masa in a finite von Neumann algebra M , then $\mathcal{GN}_M(A) \subset A$. To use the argument of the preceding paragraph in a groupoid normaliser context, we need the groupoid normaliser analogue of Kadison's fact that masas in finite type I von Neumann algebras are regular.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $P \subset Q$ be an inclusion of finite type I von Neumann algebras with $P' \cap Q \subseteq P$. Then $\mathcal{GN}_Q(P)'' = Q$.*

Proof. Since $Z(Q) \subseteq Z(P)$, we can assume that Q is type I_n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By [13, Theorem 3.3], P contains a masa of Q , say B . By [10, Theorem 3.19], we can write $Q = A \otimes \mathbb{M}_n$, where A is the centre of Q and $B = A \otimes \mathbb{D}_n$, where $\mathbb{D}_n$ are the diagonal matrices of $\mathbb{M}_n$. An easy calculation shows that $p \otimes e_{i,j}$ is a groupoid normaliser of P for every projection $p \in A$ and the $(e_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n$ are the standard matrix units of $\mathbb{M}_n$. These elements evidently generate Q . $\square$

In [6], the second author introduced the notation of *complete singularity* of an inclusion $P \subseteq Q$, which implies that $\mathcal{GN}_Q(P) \subset P$. Since it was shown in [6, Proposition 3.2] that a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra is completely singular, the next lemma follows immediately. Alternatively, one can establish the lemma directly from Connes' characterisation of amenability in [4] by showing that if P is an injective von Neumann algebra and v is a groupoid normaliser of P , then $(P \cup \{v\})''$ is also injective.

Lemma 2.7. *Let P be a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra Q . Then $\mathcal{GN}_Q(P) \subset P$.*

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.8. *Let M, N be finite von Neumann algebras with separable preduals. Let A be a type I von Neumann subalgebra of M with $\mathcal{GN}_M(A) \subset A$ and the asymptotic orthogonality property after tensoring by N . Let B be a type I von Neumann subalgebra of N which is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of N . Then $A \overline{\otimes} B$ is maximal injective in $M \overline{\otimes} N$.*

Proof. Let L be an injective von Neumann algebra with $A \overline{\otimes} B \subsetneq L \subseteq M \overline{\otimes} N$. By Lemma 2.5, L is type I and so $A \overline{\otimes} B \subset L$ is an inclusion of finite type I von Neumann algebras with $(A \overline{\otimes} B)' \cap L \subset A \overline{\otimes} B$. By Lemma 2.6, there is a groupoid normaliser v of $A \overline{\otimes} B$ in L with $v \notin A \overline{\otimes} B$. On the other hand $\mathcal{GN}_M(A) \subset A$ by hypothesis and $\mathcal{GN}_N(B) \subset B$ by Lemma 2.7. Corollary 5.6 of [8] shows that

$$\mathcal{GN}_{M \overline{\otimes} N}(A \overline{\otimes} B)'' = \mathcal{GN}_M(A)'' \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{GN}_N(B)'' = A \overline{\otimes} B,$$

and this gives a contradiction. Hence $A \overline{\otimes} B$ is maximal injective in $M \overline{\otimes} N$. $\square$

Taking $B = N = \mathbb{C}$ in the previous theorem immediately yields the next corollary, which we could also establish directly in exactly the same way as Corollary 2.3.

Corollary 2.9. *Let $A \subset M$ be an inclusion of a type I von Neumann algebra inside a finite von Neumann algebra such that $\mathcal{GN}_M(A) \subset A$ and A has the asymptotic orthogonality property. Then A is maximal injective in M .*

Finally we note that the asymptotic orthogonality property does not pass to tensor products. Indeed, no inclusion $A \overline{\otimes} B \subset M \overline{\otimes} N$ with A and B masas in type II_1 factors can have the asymptotic orthogonality property. To see this, take a unitary u in $A' \cap M^\omega$ with $E_{A^\omega}(u) = 0$ by Lemma 2.2 and some non-zero $v \in N$ with $E_B(v) = 0$. Then $(u \otimes 1)$ lies in $(A \overline{\otimes} B)' \cap (M \overline{\otimes} N)^\omega$ with $E_{(A \overline{\otimes} B)^\omega}(u \otimes 1) = 0$ and $1 \otimes v \in M \overline{\otimes} N$ with $E_{A \overline{\otimes} B}(1 \otimes v) = 0$, but $uv = vu$.

3 The radial masa in a free group factor

For $K \geq 2$, let $\mathbb{F}_K$ denote the free group on K generators. Write $a_1, \dots, a_K$ for the generators of $\mathbb{F}_K$. We regard $\mathbb{F}_K$ as a subset of the free group factor $\mathcal{LF}_K$. The unique faithful normal tracial state τ on $\mathcal{LF}_K$ induces a pre-Hilbert space norm $\|x\|_2 = \tau(x^*x)^{1/2}$ on $\mathcal{LF}_K$ and completing $\mathcal{LF}_K$ in this norm yields the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K)$. Therefore, we can regard $\mathcal{LF}_K$ as a subset of $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K)$ as well as an von Neumann algebra acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K)$.

Given $g \in \mathbb{F}_K$, write $|g|$ for the length of g . For $n \geq 0$, let $w_n \in \mathcal{LF}_K$ be the sum of all words of length n in $\mathbb{F}_K$. The recurrence relations

$$w_1 w_n = w_n w_1 = \begin{cases} w_{n+1} + (2K-1)w_{n-1} & n > 1 \\ w_2 + 2Kw_0 & n = 1 \\ w_1 & n = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

show that w_1 generates an abelian von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{LF}_K$, which we denote by A . This is the *radial* or *Laplacian* subalgebra of $\mathcal{LF}_K$ which was shown to be masa in $\mathcal{LF}_K$ by Pytlik, [17]. Write $L^2(A)$ for the closure of A in $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K)$ and note that $(w_n / \|w_n\|_2)_{n=0}^\infty$ forms an orthonormal basis for $L^2(A)$.

As promised in the introduction, we give an easy argument which shows that the radial and generator masas are not *inner* conjugate in $\mathcal{LF}_K$.

Proposition 3.1. *There is no unitary $u \in \mathcal{LF}_K$ with $uAu^* = \{a_1\}''$.*

Proof. Let Φ be the automorphism of $\mathcal{LF}_K$ induced by the automorphism of $\mathcal{LF}_K$ which interchanges the generators a_1 and a_2 and fixes the other generators. Note that $\Phi(w_1) = w_1$ so that Φ fixes the radial masa pointwise. If such a unitary u existed, then $\Phi(u)A\Phi(u)^* = \Phi(uAu^*) = \Phi(\{a_1\}'') = \{a_2\}''$ and then $\theta = \text{ad } u\Phi(u)^*$ gives an inner automorphism of $\mathcal{LF}_K$ with $\theta(\{a_2\}'') = \{a_1\}''$ and this contradicts [15, Corollary 4.3]. $\square$

To show that the radial masa is singular in $\mathcal{LF}_K$, Rădulescu decomposed $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ into a direct sum of orthogonal $A - A$ -bimodules, [18]. Before setting out this decomposition, we need some preliminary notation. For $l \geq 0$, let W_l denote the subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K)$ spanned by the words of length l in $\mathbb{F}_K$. Let q_l be the orthogonal projection from $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K)$ onto W_l . Given a vector $\xi \in M_l$, and integers $r, s \geq 0$, define

$$\xi_{r,s} = \frac{q_{r+s+l}(w_r \xi w_s)}{(2K-1)^{(r+s)/2}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Rădulescu's definition does not have the scaling factor $(2K-1)^{(r+s)/2}$ on the denominator, which we introduce simplify our subsequent calculations. Indeed, this scaling factor ensures that $\|\xi_{r,s}\|_2 = \|\xi\|_2$, for all $r, s \geq 0$ and so $\xi \mapsto \xi_{r,s}$ extends to an isometry $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K) \oplus L^2(A) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K) \oplus L^2(A)$. Define $\xi_{r,s} = 0$ when either $r < 0$ or $s < 0$.

Rădulescu constructs a sequence of orthonormal vectors $(\xi^i)_{i=1}^\infty$ in $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K)$ with the following properties.

1. Each ξ^i lies in $W_{l(i)}$, for some $l(i) \geq 1$.
2. The subspaces $\overline{\text{Span}(A\xi^i A)}^2$ are pairwise orthogonal in $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K)$ and $\oplus \overline{\text{Span}(A\xi^i A)}^2 = \ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K) \oplus L^2(A)$.
3. For those i with $l(i) > 1$, the spaces $\overline{\text{Span}(A\xi^i A)}^2$ have orthonormal bases $(\xi_{r,s}^i)_{r,s \geq 0}$.
4. For each $i, j > 0$, the map $T_{i,j}$ which sends $\xi_{r,s}^i$ to $\xi_{r,s}^j$ extends to a bounded invertible operator from $\overline{\text{Span}(A\xi^i A)}^{\|2}$ onto $\overline{\text{Span}(A\xi^j A)}^{\|2}$. Furthermore, there exists a constant C_0 (which depends on K but not i or j) such that

$$\|T_{i,j}\|, \|T_{i,j}^{-1}\| \leq C_0, \quad i, j > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

We can also choose the ξ^i to be self-adjoint. It then follows that $(\xi_{r,s}^i)^* = \xi_{s,r}^i$. Note that the set $\{\xi_{r,s}^i : i \geq 1, r, s \geq 0\}$ does not give an orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K) \oplus L^2(A)$, due to the presence of some indices i with $l(i) = 1$. However, the last two facts above show that this set is at least a basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K) \oplus L^2(A)$ and the 2-norm it induces is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$. We shall subsequently use this in a tensor product setting.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and let $\eta \in (\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes \mathcal{H}) \oplus (L^2(A) \otimes \mathcal{H})$. Then there exist vectors $(\alpha_{r,s}^i)_{r,s \geq 0, i \geq 1}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\eta = \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ r \geq 0, s \geq 0}} \xi_{r,s}^i \otimes \alpha_{r,s}^i$. Furthermore*

$$C_0^{-1} \|\eta\|_2 \leq \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ r \geq 0, s \geq 0}} \|\alpha_{r,s}^i\|_2^2 \leq C_0 \|\eta\|_2,$$

where $C_0 > 1$ is the constant appearing in equation (3.3).

A critical component of Rădulescu's computations in [18] are recurrence relations analogous to (3.1) showing how the $\xi_{r,s}^i$ behave under multiplication with w_1 . We will need these relations subsequently so we recall them here. Again it is slightly more convenient for our purposes to use a suitable normalisation, so we define $\tilde{w}_1 = w_1/(2K-1)^{1/2}$. Then, for $i \geq 1$ and $r, s \geq 0$, there exist values of $\sigma(i) = \pm 1$ so that

$$\tilde{w}_1 \xi_{r,s}^i = \begin{cases} \xi_{r+1,s}^i + \xi_{r-1,s}^i & r \geq 1 \\ \xi_{1,s}^i & r = 0, l(i) \geq 2 \\ \xi_{1,s}^i + \frac{\sigma(i)}{2K-1} \xi_{0,s-1}^i & r = 0, l(i) = 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\xi_{r,s}^i \tilde{w}_1 = \begin{cases} \xi_{r,s+1}^i + \xi_{r,s-1}^i & s \geq 1 \\ \xi_{r,1}^i & s = 0, l(i) \geq 2 \\ \xi_{r,1}^i + \frac{\sigma(i)}{2K-1} \xi_{r-1,0}^i & s = 0, l(i) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Recalling that $\xi_{-1,s}^i$ and $\xi_{r,-1}^i$ are defined to be zero, it follows that the second cases of the relations above are identical to the first cases.

4 Locating the support of elements of $A' \cap (\mathcal{LF}_K)^\omega$

In this section we establish the first half of the technical estimates required to show that the radial masa has the asymptotic orthogonality property in $\mathcal{LF}_K$. Our objective, realised in Lemma 4.3, is to control the support of elements of $A' \cap (\mathcal{LF}_K)^\omega$ which are orthogonal to A^ω . We perform these calculations after tensoring by an arbitrarily finite von Neumann algebra N as our objective is the asymptotic orthogonality property after tensoring by an arbitrary N . For each i , define

$$\lambda(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & l(i) \geq 2 \\ 1 - \frac{\sigma(i)}{2K-1}, & l(i) = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let N be a finite von Neumann algebra and suppose that $x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes L^2(N)$ has $x \perp L^2(A \overline{\otimes} N)$ and $\|x\|_2 = 1$. Write*

$$x = \sum_{r,s \geq 0, i \geq 1} \xi_{r,s}^i \otimes \alpha_{r,s}^i,$$

with convergence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes L^2(N)$, for some $\alpha_{r,s}^i \in L^2(N)$. Then, for each $s \geq 1$

$$\left| \left(\sum_{\substack{r \geq s \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r-s,0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r-s+2,0}^i + \cdots + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+s-2,0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+s,0}^i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} - \left(\sum_{\substack{r \geq s \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r,s}^i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \right| \leq 3^{s-1} C_0 \|x(\tilde{w}_1 \otimes 1) - (\tilde{w}_1 \otimes 1)x\|_2, \quad (4.1)$$

where C_0 is the constant of Proposition 3.2.

Proof. Write $\varepsilon = \|x(\tilde{w}_1 \otimes 1) - (\tilde{w}_1 \otimes 1)x\|_2$ and define $\alpha_{r,s}^i = 0$ whenever $r < 0$ or $s < 0$. Recalling the convention that $\xi_{r,s}^i$ is zero whenever $r < 0$ or $s < 0$, the recurrence relations (3.4) and (3.5) show that

$$[\tilde{w}_1, \xi_{r,s}^i] = \begin{cases} \xi_{r+1,s}^i + \xi_{r-1,s}^i - \xi_{r,s+1}^i - \xi_{r,s-1}^i, & l(i) \geq 2, r, s \geq 0 \\ \xi_{r+1,s}^i + \xi_{r-1,s}^i - \xi_{r,s+1}^i - \xi_{r,s-1}^i, & l(i) = 1, r, s \geq 1 \\ \xi_{1,s}^i + \frac{\sigma(i)}{2K-1} \xi_{0,s-1}^i - \xi_{1,s+1}^i - \xi_{1,s-1}^i, & l(i) = 1, r = 0, s > 0 \\ \xi_{r+1,1}^i + \xi_{r-1,1}^i - \xi_{r,1}^i - \frac{\sigma(i)}{2K-1} \xi_{r-1,0}^i, & l(i) = 1, r > 0, s = 0 \\ \xi_{1,0}^i - \xi_{0,1}^i, & l(1) = 1, r = s = 0. \end{cases}$$

Collecting terms together, the relations above yield

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{w}_1 \otimes 1, x_n] &= \sum_{l(i) \geq 2, r, s \geq 0} \xi_{r,s}^i \otimes (\alpha_{r-1,s}^i + \alpha_{r+1,s}^i - \alpha_{r,s-1}^i - \alpha_{r,s+1}^i) \\ &+ \sum_{l(i)=1, r, s \geq 1} \xi_{r,s}^i \otimes (\alpha_{r-1,s}^i + \alpha_{r+1,s}^i - \alpha_{r,s-1}^i - \alpha_{r,s+1}^i) \\ &+ \sum_{l(i)=1, r \geq 1} \xi_{r,0}^i \otimes (\lambda(i) \alpha_{r+1,0}^i + \alpha_{r-1,0}^i - \alpha_{r,1}^i) \\ &+ \sum_{l(i)=1, s \geq 1} \xi_{0,s}^i \otimes (\alpha_{1,s}^i - \alpha_{0,s-1}^i - \lambda(i) \alpha_{0,s+1}^i) \\ &+ \sum_{l(i)=1} \lambda(i) \xi_{0,0}^i \otimes (\alpha_{1,0}^i - \alpha_{0,1}^i), \end{aligned}$$

with convergence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes L^2(N)$. Proposition 3.2 then gives the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} C_0^2 \varepsilon^2 &\geq \sum_{l(i) \geq 2, r, s \geq 0} \|\alpha_{r-1,s}^i + \alpha_{r+1,s}^i - \alpha_{r,s-1}^i - \alpha_{r,s+1}^i\|_2^2 \\ &+ \sum_{l(i)=1, r, s \geq 1} \|\alpha_{r-1,s}^i + \alpha_{r+1,s}^i - \alpha_{r,s-1}^i - \alpha_{r,s+1}^i\|_2^2 \\ &+ \sum_{l(i)=1, r \geq 1} \|\lambda(i) \alpha_{r+1,0}^i + \alpha_{r-1,0}^i - \alpha_{r,1}^i\|_2^2 \\ &+ \sum_{l(i)=1, s \geq 1} \|\alpha_{1,s}^i - \alpha_{0,s-1}^i - \lambda(i) \alpha_{0,s+1}^i\|_2^2 \\ &+ \sum_{l(i)=1} \|\lambda(i) (\alpha_{1,0}^i - \alpha_{0,1}^i)\|_2^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where C_0 is the constant of Proposition 3.2.

Considering the terms with $s = 0$ from the first line of right hand side of (4.2) and those terms from the third line gives

$$\sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ l(i) \geq 2}} \|\alpha_{r-1,0}^i + \alpha_{r+1,0}^i - \alpha_{r,1}^i\|_2^2 + \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ l(i)=1}} \|\lambda(i) \alpha_{r+1,0}^i + \alpha_{r-1,0}^i - \alpha_{r,1}^i\|_2^2 \leq C_0^2 \varepsilon^2,$$

since $\alpha_{r,-1}^i$ is defined to be zero. Since $\lambda(i) = 1$ whenever $l(i) \geq 2$, this is

$$\sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r-1,0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+1,0}^i - \alpha_{r,1}^i\|_2^2 \leq C_0^2 \varepsilon^2.$$

An application of the triangle inequality then yields

$$\left| \left(\sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r-1,0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+1,0}^i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} - \left(\sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r,1}^i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \right| \leq C_0 \varepsilon, \quad r_0 \geq 0,$$

which is the $s = 1$ case of the Lemma.

For the $s = 2$ case, take those terms on the right-hand side of (4.2) with $s = 1$ and $r \geq 2$ to obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{r \geq 2 \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r-1,1}^i + \alpha_{r+1,1}^i - \alpha_{r,0}^i - \alpha_{r,2}^i\|_2^2 \leq C_0^2 \varepsilon^2. \quad (4.3)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{r,2}^i + \alpha_{r,0}^i - \alpha_{r+1,1}^i - \alpha_{r-1,1}^i &= \left(\alpha_{r,2}^i - (\alpha_{r-2,0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r,0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+2,0}^i) \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\alpha_{r+1,1}^i - (\alpha_{r,0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+2,0}^i) \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\alpha_{r-1,1}^i - (\alpha_{r-2,0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r,0}^i) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

so (4.3), the $s = 1$ case of the lemma and the triangle inequality give

$$\left| \left(\sum_{\substack{r \geq 2 \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r-2,0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r,0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+2,0}^i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} - \left(\sum_{\substack{r \geq 2 \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r,1}^i\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \right| \leq 3C_0 \varepsilon.$$

We need to sum over $r \geq 2$ to use the $s = 1$ case of the Lemma.

Suppose inductively that the lemma holds for $1 \leq s < s_0$. Taking those terms in (4.2) with $s = s_0$ and $r \geq s$ gives

$$\sum_{\substack{r \geq s_0 \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r-1,s_0}^i + \alpha_{r+1,s_0}^i - \alpha_{r,s_0-1}^i - \alpha_{r,s_0+1}^i\|_2^2 \leq C_0^2 \varepsilon^2. \quad (4.5)$$

The identity

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_{r,s_0-1}^i + \alpha_{r,s_0+1}^i - \alpha_{r-1,s_0}^i - \alpha_{r+1,s_0}^i \\ &= \alpha_{r,s_0+1}^i - \left(\alpha_{r-s_0,0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r-(s_0-2),0}^i + \cdots + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+s_0-2,0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+s_0,0}^i \right) \\ &\quad + \alpha_{r,s_0-1}^i - \left(\alpha_{r-(s_0-2),0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r-(s_0-4),0}^i + \cdots + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+s_0-4,0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+s_0-2,0}^i \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\alpha_{r+1,s_0}^i - (\alpha_{r+1-(s_0-1),0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+1-(s_0-3),0}^i + \cdots + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+1+(s_0-3),0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r+1-(s_0-1),0}^i) \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\alpha_{r-1,s_0}^i - (\alpha_{r-1-(s_0-1),0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r-1-(s_0-3),0}^i + \cdots + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r-1+(s_0-3),0}^i + \lambda(i)\alpha_{r-1+(s_0-1),0}^i) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

the inductive hypothesis, (4.5) and the triangle inequality imply that

$$\left| \left(\sum_{\substack{r \geq s_0 \\ i \geq 1}} \left\| \alpha_{r,s_0+1}^i \right\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} - \left(\sum_{\substack{r \geq s_0 \\ i \geq 1}} \left\| \alpha_{r-s_0,0}^i + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r-(s_0-2),0}^i + \cdots + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+s_0-2,0}^i + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+s_0,0}^i \right\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \right| \\ \leq C_0 \varepsilon + 3^{(s_0-3)} C_0 \varepsilon + 3^{(s_0-2)} C_0 \varepsilon + 3^{(s_0-2)} C_0 \varepsilon \leq 3 \cdot 3^{(s_0-2)} C_0 \varepsilon = 3^{s_0-1} C_0 \varepsilon.$$

By induction, the lemma holds for all s . $\square$

The crux of Lemma 4.3 is contained in the next Lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let N be a finite von Neumann algebra. Let $x = (x_n)$ be an element of $(A \otimes \mathbb{C}1)' \cap (\mathcal{LF}_K \otimes N)^\omega$ with $\|x_n\|_2 = 1$ and $E_{A \otimes N}(x_n) = 0$ for all n . Write $x_n = \sum_{i,r,s} \xi_{r,s}^i \otimes \alpha_{r,s}^{n,i}$ for some $\alpha_{r,s}^{n,i} \in L^2(N)$ and convergence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes L^2(N)$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sum_{i \geq 1, r \geq 0} \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sum_{i \geq 1, s \geq 0} \|\alpha_{0,s}^{n,i}\|_2^2 = 0.$$

Proof. Note that for $\beta, \gamma \in L^2(N)$

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\beta - \gamma\|_2^2 \leq \|\beta\|_2^2 + \|\gamma\|_2^2.$$

For $t \geq 1$, take $\beta = \alpha_{r,0}^{n,i} + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i} + \cdots + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+2t,0}^{n,i}$ and $\gamma = \alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i} + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+4,0}^{n,i} + \cdots + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+2t,0}^{n,i}$ and sum over r and i to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \geq 0, i \geq 1} \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i} - (1 - \lambda(i)) \alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \tag{4.7} \\ \leq \sum_{r \geq 0, i \geq 1} \left(\|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i} + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i} + \cdots + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+2t,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 + \|\alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i} + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+4,0}^{n,i} + \cdots + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+2t,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \right),$$

for $n, t \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i} + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i} + \cdots + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+2t,0}^{n,i} = \alpha_{r+t-t,0}^{n,i} + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+t-t+2,0}^{n,i} + \cdots + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+t-t+2t,0}^{n,i}$.

Let $\varepsilon_n = \|x_n(\tilde{w}_1 \otimes 1) - (\tilde{w}_1 \otimes 1)x_n\|_2$. Lemma 4.1 gives

$$\left(\sum_{r \geq 0, i \geq 1} \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i} + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i} + \cdots + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+2t,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq \left(\sum_{r \geq t, i \geq 1} \|\alpha_{r,t}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} + 3^{t-1} C_0 \varepsilon_n.$$

Similarly

$$\left(\sum_{r \geq 0, i \geq 1} \|\alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i} + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+4,0}^{n,i} + \cdots + \lambda(i) \alpha_{r+2t,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq \left(\sum_{\substack{r \geq t+1 \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r,t-1}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} + 3^{t-2} C_0 \varepsilon_n.$$

Ergo, for each $t \geq 1$, the previous two inequalities and (4.7) give

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \geq 0, i \geq 1} \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i} - (1 - \lambda(i))\alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{r \geq t \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r,t}^{n,i}\|_2^2 + \sum_{\substack{r \geq t+1 \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r,t-1}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \\
& \quad + \left(2 \cdot 3^{t-1} C_0 \left(\sum_{\substack{r \geq t \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r,t}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} + 2 \cdot 3^{t-2} C_0 \left(\sum_{\substack{r \geq t+1 \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r,t-1}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) \varepsilon_n + (3^{2t-2} + 3^{2t-4}) C_0^2 \varepsilon_n^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{r \geq t \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r,t}^{n,i}\|_2^2 + \sum_{\substack{r \geq t+1 \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r,t-1}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \\
& \quad + C_0^2 (2 \cdot 3^{t-1} + 2 \cdot 3^{t-2}) \varepsilon_n + C_0^2 (3^{2t-2} + 3^{2t-4}) \varepsilon_n^2,
\end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $\sum_{r,s,i} \|\alpha_{r,s}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \leq C_0^2$.

Summing this inequality over $t = 1, \dots, t_0$, and crudely estimating the first two sums on the right-hand side gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{t_0}{2} \sum_{r \geq 0, i \geq 1} \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i} - (1 - \lambda(i))\alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{\substack{r \geq 0 \\ i \geq 1}} \|\alpha_{r,t}^{n,i}\|_2^2 + C_0^2 \sum_{t=1}^{t_0} (2\varepsilon_n(3^{t-1} + 3^{t-2}) + (3^{2t-2} + 3^{2t-4})\varepsilon_n^2)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|x_n\|_2 = 1$, Proposition 3.2 shows that the first term on the right hand side above is bounded by the constant C_0^2 (which depends only on K). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r \geq 0, i \geq 1} \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i} - (1 - \lambda(i))\alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \\
& \leq \frac{4C_0^2}{t_0} + \frac{2C_0^2}{t_0} \sum_{t=1}^{t_0} (2\varepsilon_n(3^{t-1} + 3^{t-2}) + (3^{2t-2} + 3^{2t-4})\varepsilon_n^2). \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

The first term of (4.8) can be made arbitrarily small by choosing a suitably large value for t_0 . Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sum_{r \geq 0, i \geq 1} \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i} - (1 - \lambda(i))\alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \varepsilon_n = 0$.

Since $\lambda(i) = 1$, when $l(i) > 1$, (4.9) implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sum_{r \geq 0, l(i) > 1} \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 = 0.$$

For those i with $l(i) = 1$, we have $|(1 - \lambda(i))| = 1/(2K - 1)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{1}{2K - 1}\right) \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2K - 1} \|\alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \\ & \leq \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 - \frac{2}{2K - 1} |\langle \alpha_{r,0}^{n,i}, \alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i} \rangle| \\ & \leq \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i} + (1 - \lambda(i)) \alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

(4.9) also implies that

$$\left(1 - \frac{2}{2K - 1}\right) \sum_{r \geq 0, l(i)=1} \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{r \geq 0, l(i)=1} \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i} - (1 - \lambda(i)) \alpha_{r+2,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \omega.$$

Combining the cases $l(i) = 1$ and $l(i) > 1$ gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sum_{r \geq 0, i \geq 1} |\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i}|^2 = 0.$$

Finally, replacing x by x^* interchanges the roles of r and s . Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sum_{\substack{s \geq 0 \\ i \geq 1}} |\alpha_{0,s}^{n,i}|^2 = 0,$$

which is the second limit required for the lemma. $\square$

We can now deduce the main result of this section.

Lemma 4.3. *Let N be a finite von Neumann algebra. Let $x = (x_n)$ be an element of $(A \otimes \mathbb{C}1)' \cap (\mathcal{LF}_K \otimes N)^\omega$ with $\|x_n\|_2 = 1$ and $E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(x_n) = 0$ for all n . Write $x_n = \sum_{i,r,s} \xi_{r,s}^i \otimes \alpha_{r,s}^{n,i}$ for some $\alpha_{r,s}^{n,i} \in L^2(N)$ and convergence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes_2 L^2(N)$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1, \\ r \leq m \text{ or } s \leq m}} \|\alpha_{r,s}^{n,i}\|_2^2 = 0.$$

Proof. Lemma 4.2 gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ r \geq 0}} \|\alpha_{r,0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 = 0. \quad (4.10)$$

For fixed $s_0 > 0$, we can combine (4.10) with Lemma 4.1 and the triangle inequality to obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ r \geq s_0}} \|\alpha_{r,s_0}^{n,i}\|_2^2 = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

By replacing x with x^* , we interchange the roles of r and s and hence, for each $r_0 \geq 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ s \geq r_0}} \|\alpha_{r_0,s}^{n,i}\|_2^2 = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Combining the limits (4.11) and (4.12) establishes the lemma. $\square$

5 Counting Words in $\mathbb{F}_K$

This section contains the combinatorial ingredients required to show that the radial masa has the asymptotic orthogonality property.

Let $g, h \in \mathbb{F}_K$. Say that there are exactly $i \geq 0$ *cancelations in the product gh* if $|gh| = |g| + |h| - 2i$. Given non-empty subsets σ, τ of $\{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_K^{\pm 1}\}$ and $n \geq 0$, let $w_n(\sigma, \tau)$ denote the sum of all words of length n which begin with an element of σ and end with an element of τ . Write $\nu_n(\sigma, \tau)$ for the number of words in this sum so that $\nu_n(\sigma, \tau) = \|w_n(\sigma, \tau)\|_2^2$. We abuse notation when sets of singletons are involved, writing $\nu_n(a_1, \tau)$ instead of $\nu_n(\{a_1\}, \tau)$, for example. The values $\nu_n(\sigma, \tau)$ can be explicitly computed by solving certain difference equations, see Lemma 4.3 and Remark 4.4 of [20], but for our purposes the following estimate of Sinclair and Smith will suffice.

Proposition 5.1 (Sinclair — Smith, [20, Corollary 4.5]). *There exists a constant $C_1 > 0$, which depends only on K , such that*

$$|\nu_n(\sigma_1, \tau_1) - \nu_n(\sigma_2, \tau_2)| \leq C_1,$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and all subsets $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \tau_1, \tau_2 \subset \{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_K^{\pm 1}\}$ with $|\sigma_1| = |\sigma_2|$ and $|\tau_1| = |\tau_2|$.

Recall that for a vector $\xi \in W_l$, and $m \geq 0$ we defined $(\xi)_{m,m} = (2K-1)^{-m} q_{2m+l}(w_m \xi w_m)$ in (3.2). In this section, our objective is to estimate

$$\left| \langle (k_1)_{m,m}(g_1 - g_2), h(k_2)_{m,m} \rangle \right|,$$

where $g_1, g_2, h, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}_K$ have $|g_1| = |g_2|$ and m is sufficiently large. Fix such g_1, g_2 and h and let $m > 2 \max(|g_1|, |h|)$.

Write $S^m(k_1, g_1)$ for the collection of all words of the form xk_1yg_1 , where x, y have length m and there are no cancelations in the products xk_1 and k_1y . Write $T^m(k_2, h)$ for the collection of all words of the form hxk_2y , where x, y have length m and there are no cancelations in the products xk_2 and k_2y . Then

$$\langle (k_1)_{m,m}g_1, h(k_2)_{m,m} \rangle = \frac{1}{(2K-1)^{2m}} |T^m(k_2, h) \cap S^m(k_1, g_1)|. \quad (5.1)$$

For $0 \leq i \leq |g_1|$, write $S_i^m(k_1, g_1)$ for those words xk_1yg_1 in $S^m(k_1, g_1)$ which have exactly i cancelations in the product yg_1 so that $S^m(k_1, g_1) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{|g_1|} S_i^m(k_1, g_1)$.

Lemma 5.2. *With the notation above,*

$$\left| |T^m(k_2, h) \cap S^m(k_1, g_1)| - |T^m(k_2, h) \cap S^m(k_1, g_2)| \right| \leq C_1 (2K-1)^{m+|h|},$$

where C_1 is the constant of Proposition 5.1.

Proof. Let $l = |g_1| = |g_2|$ and express g_1 and g_2 as reduced words $g_1 = g_{1,1} \dots g_{1,l}$, $g_2 = g_{2,1} \dots g_{2,l}$. There are $(2K-1)^m$ reduced words $x \in \mathbb{F}_K$ of length m with no cancelations in the product xk_2 . Given such an x , write

$$I_p(x) = \left| \{y \in \mathbb{F}_K : hxk_2y \in S^m(k_1, g_p), |y| = m, |k_2y| = m + |k_2|\} \right|, \quad p = 1, 2$$

and it then suffices to show that

$$|I_1(x) - I_2(x)| \leq C_1(2K - 1)^{|h|}, \quad (5.2)$$

for all such x . Fix such an x , and let j be the number of cancelations in the product hx . By comparing the lengths of words, $I_1(x) = I_2(x) = 0$ unless there is some integer i with $0 \leq i \leq |g_1|$ and

$$|k_1| + |g_1| - 2i = |k_2| + |h| - 2j.$$

Furthermore, the words hxk_2y lying in the sets $S^m(k_1, g_1)$ and $S^m(k_1, g_2)$ appearing in (5.2) must actually lie in $S_i^m(k_1, g_1)$ and $S_i^m(k_1, g_2)$ respectively. We now consider three cases individually.

Firstly suppose that $|hxk_2| \geq m + |k_1|$. The words of $S^m(k_1, g_1)$ and $S^m(k_1, g_2)$ all contain a copy of k_1 from the $(m + 1)$ -th letter to the $(m + |k_1|)$ -th letter. Therefore we can assume that k_1 is contained in hxk_2 from the $(m + 1)$ -th letter to the $(m + |k_1|)$ -th letter, otherwise both $I_1(x) = 0$ and $I_2(x) = 0$ so (5.2) is immediate. For hxk_2y to lie in $S_i^m(k_1, g_1)$, the last $l - i$ letters of y must be $g_{1,i+1} \dots g_{1,l}$. That is, writing $y = y_1 \dots y_m$, we must have $y_{m-l+i+1} = g_{1,i+1}, y_{m-l+i+2} = g_{1,i+2}, \dots, y_m = g_{1,l}$. For hxk_2y to lie in $S_i^m(k_1, g_1)$, hxk_2y must have the form

$$hxk_2y_1 \dots y_{m-l+i}(g_{1,i}^{-1} \dots g_{1,1}^{-1})(g_{1,1} \dots g_{1,i})g_{1,i+1} \dots g_{1,l},$$

where there is no cancelation in the products k_2y_1 and $y_{m-l+i}g_{1,i}^{-1}$ (the latter condition gives no restriction if $i = 0$) and $y_{m-l+i} \neq g_{1,i+1}^{-1} = y_{m-l+i+1}^{-1}$ (this condition gives no restriction if $i = l$). Furthermore every such $y_1 \dots y_{m-l+i}$ gives rise to some y with $hxk_2y \in S^m(k_1, g_1)$. Therefore

$$I_1(x) = \nu_{m-l+i}(\{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_K^{\pm 1}\} \setminus \{\text{last letter of } k_2\}, \{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_K^{\pm 1}\} \setminus \{g_{1,i+1}^{-1}, g_{1,i}\})$$

with the appropriate adjustments if $i = 0$ or $i = l$. Similarly

$$I_2(x) = \nu_{m-l+i}(\{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_K^{\pm 1}\} \setminus \{\text{last letter of } k_2\}, \{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_K^{\pm 1}\} \setminus \{g_{2,i+1}^{-1}, g_{2,i}\}),$$

with the appropriate adjustments if $i = 0$ or $i = l$. Proposition 5.1 implies that

$$|I_1(x) - I_2(x)| \leq C_1,$$

and so (5.2) follows.

Now consider the case that $m + 1 \leq |hxk_2| < m + |k_1|$. Let $r = |hxk_2| - m$. We can assume that the first r letters of k_1 make up the last r letters of hxk_2 , otherwise both $I_1(x)$ and $I_2(x)$ are zero. Any y for which $hxk_2y \in S_i^m(k_1, g_1)$ must commence with the last $(|k_1| - r)$ -letters of k_1 , that is we may assume $y_1, \dots, y_{|k_1|-r}$ are given. Arguing exactly as in the previous paragraph we see that

$$I_1(x) = \nu_{m-l-(r+1)+i}(\{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_K^{\pm 1}\} \setminus \{y_{|k_1|-r}^{-1}\}, \{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_K^{\pm 1}\} \setminus \{g_{1,i+1}^{-1}, g_{1,i}\})$$

and

$$I_2(x) = \nu_{m-l-(r+1)+i}(\{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_K^{\pm 1}\} \setminus \{y_{|k_1|-r}^{-1}\}, \{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_K^{\pm 1}\} \setminus \{g_{2,i+1}^{-1}, g_{2,i}\}).$$

Again (5.2) follows from Proposition 5.1.

Finally, consider the case that $|h x k_2| < m + 1$ and let $r = m - |h x k_2|$ and note that $r \leq m - (m + |k_2| + |h| - 2j) \leq |h|$. In this case any y for which $h x k_2 y$ lies in $S_i^m(k_1, g_1)$ must contain a copy of k_1 from the $(r + 1)$ -th position to the $(r + |k_1|)$ -th position. Any choices of $y_1, \dots, y_r$ which lead to no cancelations in $k_2 y$ and $y_r k_1$ are permissible and for each such choice, arguing just as before, there are

$$\nu_{m-l-(r+|k_1|)+i}(\{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_N^{\pm 1}\} \setminus \{y_{r+|k_1|}^{-1}\}, \{a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_N^{\pm 1}\} \setminus \{g_{1,i+1}^{-1}, g_{1,i}\})$$

choices of $y_{r+|k_1|+1} \dots y_m$ giving rise to an element $h x k_1 y$ in $S_i^m(k_1, g_1)$. There are at most $(2K - 1)^r$ choices of $y_1, \dots, y_r$, so Proposition 5.1 gives

$$|I_1(x) - I_2(x)| \leq C_1(2K - 1)^r \leq C(2K - 1)^{|h|},$$

Thus (5.2) also holds in this case. $\square$

We need one final combinatorial ingredient for our proof of the maximal injectivity of the radial masa.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $g_1, g_2, h \in \mathbb{F}_K$ have $|g_1| = |g_2|$ and let $m > 2 \max(|g_1|, |h|)$. Write I for the set of all pairs (k_1, k_2) in $\mathbb{F}_K^2$ such that $\langle (k_1)_{m,m}(g_1 - g_2), h(k_2)_{m,m} \rangle \neq 0$. Then there exists a constant C_2 , depending only on K , $|g_1|$ and $|h|$ so that, for k_1 fixed, there are at most C_2 values of k_2 with $(k_1, k_2) \in I$ and for k_2 fixed, there are at most C_2 values of k_1 with $(k_1, k_2) \in I$.*

Proof. Fix $k_1 \in \mathbb{F}_K$. The inner product $\langle (k_1)_{m,m}(g_1 - g_2), h(k_2)_{m,m} \rangle$ is zero when both the intersections $S^m(k_1, g_1) \cap T^m(k_2, h)$ and $S^m(k_1, g_2) \cap T^m(k_2, h)$ are empty. These intersections are both empty unless there are i and j with $0 \leq i \leq |g_1|$ and $0 \leq j \leq |h|$ and a word of length $2m + |k_1| + |g_1| - 2i = 2m + |k_2| + |h| - 2j$ containing k_1 from the $(m + 1)$ -letter to the $(m + |k_1|)$ -th letter and containing k_2 from the $(m + |h| - 2j + 1)$ -th letter to the $(m + |k_2| + |h| - 2j)$ -th letter. Since the possible values of i and j depend only on $|g_1|$ and $|h|$, it suffices to find C'_2 , depending only on $|g_1|$, h and K , such that for each i and j , there are at most C'_2 values of k_2 satisfying these conditions. For fixed i and j , we have the freedom to choose the first $\max(0, 2j - |h|)$ -letters of k_2 and the last $\max(0, |g_1| - 2i)$ -letters of k_2 (subject to their being no cancelations) the remaining letters are determined by k_1 . Estimating crudely, there are at most $(2K)^{|h|+|g_1|}$ such choices and so we can take $C'_2 = (2K)^{|h|+|g_1|}$. A similar argument shows that the value of C_2 obtained also satisfies the second statement of the lemma. $\square$

6 Maximal Injectivity of the Radial Masa

In this section, we combine the results of the previous two sections to show that the radial masa has the asymptotic orthogonality property. We need one final lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $g_1, g_2, h \in \mathbb{F}_K$ have $|g_1| = |g_2|$. There exists a constant C_3 , which depends only on g_1, g_2, h and K , such that for all finite von Neumann algebras N , all*

$m > 2 \max(|g_1|, |h|)$ and all vectors $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes L^2(N)$ which lie in the closed linear span of $\xi_{r+m, s+m}^i \otimes L^2(N)$ for $i \geq 1$ and $r, s \geq 1$, we have

$$\left| \langle \eta_1((g_1 - g_2) \otimes z_{1,2}), (h \otimes z_{2,2})\eta_2 \rangle \right| \leq C_3(2K - 1)^{-m} \|\eta_1\|_2 \|\eta_2\|_2 \|z_{1,2}\| \|z_{2,2}\|,$$

for all $z_{1,2}, z_{2,2} \in N$.

Proof. Note that $\xi_{r+m, s+m}^i = (\xi_{r,s}^i)_{m,m}$. Each $\xi_{r,s}^i$ lies in $W_{l(i)+r+s}$, the span of the words of length $l(i) + r + s$ and the map $\zeta \mapsto \zeta_{m,m}$ is an isometry $W_{l(i)+r+s} \rightarrow W_{l(i)+r+s+2m}$. Thus $\xi_{r+m, s+m}^i$ lies in the span of the elements $k_{m,m}$ for $k \in \mathbb{F}_r$ with $|k| = l(i) + r + s$. Note too that the elements $(k_{m,m})_{k \in \mathbb{F}_r \setminus \{e\}}$ form an orthonormal set in $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K)$. This follows as $k_{m,m}$ consists of the normalised sum of all words of length $|k| + 2m$ which contain k beginning in the $(m+1)$ -th position. All the words in the sum for k_1 are then orthogonal to the words in the sum for k_2 unless $k_1 = k_2$.

The hypotheses of the lemma and the preceding paragraph allow us to write

$$\eta_1 = \sum_{k \neq e} k_{m,m} \otimes \alpha_k, \quad \eta_2 = \sum_{k \neq e} k_{m,m} \otimes \beta_k,$$

for some $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in L^2(N)$ with $\sum_k \|\alpha_k\|^2 = \|\eta_1\|_2^2$ and $\sum_k \|\beta_k\|_2^2 = \|\eta_2\|_2^2$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle \eta_1((g_1 - g_2) \otimes z_{1,2}), (h \otimes z_{2,2})\eta_2 \rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k_1, k_2 \neq e} \langle (k_1)_{m,m}(g_1 - g_2), h(k_2)_{m,m} \rangle \langle \alpha_{k_1} z_{1,2}, z_{2,2} \beta_{k_2} \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \|z_{1,2}\| \|z_{2,2}\| \sum_{k_1, k_2 \neq e} \|\alpha_{k_1}\|_2 \|\beta_{k_2}\|_2 \left| \langle (k_1)_{m,m}(g_1 - g_2), h(k_2)_{m,m} \rangle \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Let I be the set of all pairs (k_1, k_2) such that the inner product $\langle (k_1)_{m,m}(g_1 - g_2), h(k_2)_{m,m} \rangle$ is non-zero. By Lemma 5.2 and (5.1)

$$|\langle (k_1)_{m,m}(g_1 - g_2), h(k_2)_{m,m} \rangle| \leq C_1(2K - 1)^{|h|-m}, \quad m > 2 \max(|g_1|, |h|),$$

where C_1 is the constant (depending only on K) from Proposition 5.1. Applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to (6.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle \eta_1((g_1 - g_2) \otimes z_{1,2}), (h \otimes z_{2,2})\eta_2 \rangle \right| \\ &\leq C_1(2K - 1)^{|h|-m} \left(\sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in I} \|\alpha_{k_1}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{(k_1, k_2) \in I} \|\beta_{k_2}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \|z_{1,2}\| \|z_{2,2}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.3 gives us a constant C_2 (depending only on $|g_1|, |h|$ and K) such that for each k_1 , there are at most C_2 words of k_2 with $(k_1, k_2) \in I$ and for each k_2 , there are at most C_2 words k_1 with $(k_1, k_2) \in I$. Thus

$$\left| \langle \eta_1((g_1 - g_2) \otimes z_{1,2}), (h \otimes z_{2,2})\eta_2 \rangle \right| \leq C_1 C_2^{1/2} (2K - 1)^{|h|-m} \|\eta_1\|_2 \|\eta_2\|_2 \|z_{1,2}\| \|z_{2,2}\|,$$

and the result follows, where the constant C_3 is given by $C_3 = C_1 C_2^{1/2} (2K - 1)^{|h|}$. $\square$

We can now prove the main technical result of the paper. We can then deduce that the radial masa is maximal injective from the results of Section 2.

Theorem 6.2. *Let N be a finite von Neumann algebra. The radial masa A in the free group factor $\mathcal{LF}_K$ has the asymptotic orthogonality property after tensoring by N .*

Proof. Let N be a finite von Neumann algebra with a fixed faithful normal, normalised trace. Given $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)} \in (A \otimes \mathbb{C}1)' \cap (\mathcal{LF}_K)^\omega$ with $E_{(A \overline{\otimes} N)^\omega}(x^{(1)}) = E_{(A \overline{\otimes} N)^\omega}(x^{(2)}) = 0$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{LF}_K \overline{\otimes} N$ with $E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(y_1) = 0$. It suffices to prove that $x^{(1)}y_1 \perp y_2x^{(2)}$.

By linearity and density, we may first assume that y_2 is of the form $h \otimes z_{2,2}$ for a single group element $h \in \mathbb{F}_K$ and $z_{2,2} \in N$. We may also assume that y_1 is an elementary tensor $z_{1,1} \otimes z_{1,2}$, where $z_{1,1}$ is an element of $\mathbb{CF}_K$ with $E_A(z_{1,1}) = 0$. Elementary algebraic calculations show that such elements are linear combinations of elements of the form $g_1 - g_2$ with $|g_1| = |g_2|$. Thus we may assume that $y_1 = (g_1 - g_2) \otimes z_{1,2}$ for some $|g_1| = |g_2|$. Choose representatives $(x_n^{(1)}), (x_n^{(2)})$ of $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}$ with $E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(x_n^{(1)}) = E_{A \overline{\otimes} N}(x_n^{(2)}) = 0$ and $\|x_n^{(1)}\|_2 = \|x^{(1)}\|_2$, $\|x_n^{(2)}\|_2 = \|x^{(2)}\|_2$ for all n .

We can write $x_n^{(j)} = \sum_{i,r,s} \xi_{r,s}^i \otimes \alpha_{r,s}^{j,n,i}$, for $\alpha_{r,s}^{j,n,i} \in L^2(N)$. For each $m > 0$, Lemma 4.3 shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ r,s > m}} \|\alpha_{r,s}^{j,n,i}\|^2 = 0, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

In particular, if we define elements

$$\eta_n^{(j)} = \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ r,s > m}} \xi_{r,s}^i \otimes \alpha_{r,s}^{j,n,i}$$

in $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes_2 L^2(N)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^{(1)}((g_1 - g_2) \otimes z_{1,2}), (h \otimes z_{2,2})x^{(2)} \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle x_n^{(1)}((g_1 - g_2) \otimes z_{1,2}), (h \otimes z_{2,2})x_n^{(2)} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \langle \eta_n^{(1)}((g_1 - g_2) \otimes z_{1,2}), (h \otimes z_{2,2})\eta_n^{(2)} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.1 gives us a constant C_3 , which depends only on $|g_1|, |h|$ and N so that

$$\left| \langle \eta_n^{(1)}((g_1 - g_2) \otimes z_{1,2}), (h \otimes z_{2,2})\eta_n^{(2)} \rangle \right| \leq C_3(2K - 1)^{-m} \|\eta_n^{(1)}\|_2 \|\eta_n^{(2)}\|_2 \|z_{1,2}\| \|z_{2,2}\|.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|\eta_n^{(j)}\|_2 = \|x^{(j)}\|_2$, we obtain

$$\left| \langle x^{(1)}((g_1 - g_2) \otimes z_{1,2}), (h \otimes z_{2,2})x^{(2)} \rangle \right| \leq C_3(2K - 1)^{-m} \|x^{(1)}\|_2 \|x^{(2)}\|_2 \|z_{1,2}\| \|z_{2,2}\|,$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $x^{(1)}((g_1 - g_2) \otimes z_{1,2}) \perp (h \otimes z_{2,2})x^{(2)}$, as required. $\square$

The corollaries below now follow from Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 2.8.

Corollary 6.3. *For each $K \geq 2$, the radial masa is a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{LF}_K$.*

Corollary 6.4. *Let A be the radial masa in $\mathcal{LF}_K$. Let $B \subset N$ be an inclusion of a type I von Neumann algebra in a finite von Neumann algebra such that B is maximal injective in N . Then $A \overline{\otimes} B$ is maximal injective in $\mathcal{LF}_K \overline{\otimes} N$.*

Corollary 6.5. *Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let A_i be either a generator or radial masa in $\mathcal{LF}_{K_i}$ for some $K_i \geq 2$. Then the tensor product $A_1 \overline{\otimes} \dots \overline{\otimes} A_n$ is maximal injective in $\mathcal{LF}_{K_1} \overline{\otimes} \dots \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{LF}_{K_n}$.*

7 Concluding Remarks

Let B denote a generator masa in $\mathcal{LF}_K$. As a preliminary step in his proof that B is maximal injective in $\mathcal{LF}_K$, Popa uses the fact (see [15, Proposition 4.1]) that if B_0 is a diffuse subalgebra of B , then $B'_0 \cap \mathcal{LF}_K = B$. It follows that if L is any intermediate von Neumann algebra between B and $\mathcal{LF}_K$, then there is a family of orthogonal central projections $(p_i)_{i \geq 0}$ in L which sum to 1 such that $Lp_0 = Bp_0$ and for $i \geq 1$, each Lp_i is a II_1 factor. Popa then uses the critical asymptotic orthogonality calculation [14, Lemma 2.1] to show that these factors Lp_i can not have property Γ and the maximal injectivity of B follows (see Corollary 3.3 of [14]).

The argument of section 2 allows us to deduce the maximal injectivity of B directly from Popa's asymptotic orthogonality calculation. Using Ozawa's solidity of $\mathcal{LF}_K$ [11], the additional properties of the generator masa found in Popa's proof can then be recovered. This works for any maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra and so in particular, the radial masa shares all the properties of the generator masas developed in [14]. Recall that a von Neumann algebra M is *solid* if the relative commutant of every diffuse von Neumann subalgebra of M is injective.

Proposition 7.1. *Let B be a maximal injective von Neumann subalgebra of a solid II_1 factor M . If L is an intermediate von Neumann subalgebra between B and M , then there is a family of orthogonal central projections $(p_i)_{i \geq 0}$ in L which sum to 1 such that $Lp_0 = Bp_0$ and for $i \geq 1$, each Lp_i is a II_1 factor without property Γ .*

Proof. Note that $B' \cap M \subseteq B$ by maximal injectivity, so that $L' \cap M = Z(L) \subseteq Z(B)$. Let p_0 be the maximal central projection of L such that Lp_0 is diffuse. Then $Lp_0 \subseteq (Z(L)p_0)' \cap M$ and this last algebra is injective by solidity of M . Since $(Z(L)p_0)' \cap M$ contains B , we have $Lp_0 \subseteq B$ by maximal injectivity of B . Let $(p_i)_{i > 0}$ denote the minimal projections of $Z(L)(1 - p_0)$ so that for $i > 0$, each Lp_i is a factor of type II_1 . These factors can not have property Γ , by Popa's observation [11, Proposition 7]. $\square$

The generator and radial algebras also give rise to masas at the level of the reduced C^* -algebra. Write B_0 for the C^* -subalgebra of $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$ generated by the first generator and A_0 for the C^* -subalgebra of $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$ generated by w_1 . Both A_0 and B_0 are maximal abelian subalgebras of $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$. The later claim is well known, while the former can be found in [17].

Proposition 7.2. *The algebras A_0 and B_0 are maximal nuclear C^* -subalgebras of $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$.*

Proof. Represent $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K)$ and let L_0 be a nuclear C^* -subalgebra of $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$ which contains A_0 or B_0 . Then L_0'' is an injective von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}\mathbb{F}_K$ containing the radial masa A or the generator masa B so that $L_0'' = A$ or $L_0'' = B$ by maximal injectivity of these algebras. In particular L_0'' and hence L_0 is abelian. Since A_0 and B_0 are maximal abelian subalgebras of $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$ it follows that $L_0 = A_0$ or $L_0 = B_0$. $\square$

An identical argument shows that any finite tensor product of n copies of the algebras A_0 or B_0 is maximal nuclear in the spacial tensor product of n copies of $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$, since [25, Theorem 4] the tensor product of masas is maximal abelian in the spacial tensor product. Since the algebra B_0 has the extension property ([2, Example (i)]), it follows that $B_0 \otimes B_0$ is a masa in $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes_\alpha C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$ for any C^* -norm α , [27]. However, $B_0 \otimes B_0$ need not be a maximal nuclear C^* -algebra in $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes_\alpha C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$ when α is larger than the minimal norm. We would like to thank Simon Wassermann bringing this to our attention and for allowing us to include the following argument here.

Let α denote the conjugacy norm on $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K) \odot C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$, given by the representation $\lambda \times \rho$ of $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K) \odot C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$ on $\mathbb{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K))$, where λ and ρ are the left and right regular representations respectively. The kernel of the canonical $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes_\alpha C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$ consists precisely of the compact operators $\mathbb{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K))$, which also is the only non-trivial ideal in this tensor product [1] (see also [26]). Consequently the C^* -subalgebra D of $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes_\alpha C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$ generated by $B_0 \otimes B_0$ and $\mathbb{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_K))$ is a nuclear extension of a nuclear algebra so nuclear. Furthermore, this algebra D is a maximal nuclear C^* -subalgebra of $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes_\alpha C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$, for if D_1 was a nuclear C^* -subalgebra of $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes_\alpha C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$ containing D , then $\pi(D_1)$ would be a nuclear algebra containing $B_0 \otimes B_0$ in $C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K) \otimes C_r^*(\mathbb{F}_K)$ and so $\pi(D_1) = B_0 \otimes B_0$ by maximal nuclearity. Thus $D_1 = D$.

References

- [1] C. A. Akemann and P. A. Ostrand. On a tensor product C^* -algebra associated with the free group on two generators. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 27(4):589–599, 1975.
- [2] R. J. Archbold. Extensions of states of C^* -algebras. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 21(2):351–354, 1980.
- [3] N. P. Brown and N. Ozawa. *C^* -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, volume 88 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [4] A. Connes. Classification of injective factors. Cases II_1 , II_∞ , III_λ , $\lambda \neq 1$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 104(1):73–115, 1976.
- [5] J. Dixmier. Sous-anneaux abéliens maximaux dans les facteurs de type fini. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 59:279–286, 1954.
- [6] J. Fang. On completely singular von Neumann subalgebras. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2), to appear. arXiv:math.OA/0606649.

- [7] J. Fang. On maximal injective subalgebras of tensor products of von Neumann algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 244(1):277–288, 2007.
- [8] J. Fang, R. R. Smith, S. A. White, and A. D. Wiggins. Groupoid normalizers and tensor products. arXiv:0810.0252v1 [math.OA], 2008.
- [9] L. Ge and R. Kadison. On tensor products for von Neumann algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 123(3):453–466, 1996.
- [10] R. V. Kadison. Diagonalizing matrices. *Amer. J. Math.*, 106(6):1451–1468, 1984.
- [11] N. Ozawa. Solid von Neumann algebras. *Acta Math.*, 192(1):111–117, 2004.
- [12] N. Ozawa and S. Popa. On a class of II_1 factors with at most one cartan subalgebra. arXiv:0706.3623v4 [math.OA].
- [13] S. Popa. On a problem of R. V. Kadison on maximal abelian $*$ -subalgebras in factors. *Invent. Math.*, 65(2):269–281, 1981/82.
- [14] S. Popa. Maximal injective subalgebras in factors associated with free groups. *Adv. in Math.*, 50(1):27–48, 1983.
- [15] S. Popa. Orthogonal pairs of $*$ -subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 9(2):253–268, 1983.
- [16] S. Popa. Strong rigidity of II_1 factors arising from malleable actions of w -rigid groups, I. *Invent. Math.*, 165:369–408, 2006.
- [17] T. Pytlik. Radial functions on free groups and a decomposition of the regular representation into irreducible components. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 326:124–135, 1981.
- [18] F. Rădulescu. Singularity of the radial subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(F_N)$ and the Pukánszky invariant. *Pacific J. Math.*, 151(2):297–306, 1991.
- [19] J. Shen. Maximal injective subalgebras of tensor products of free group factors. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 240(2):334–348, 2006.
- [20] A. M. Sinclair and R. R. Smith. The Laplacian MASA in a free group factor. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 355(2):465–475 (electronic), 2003.
- [21] A. M. Sinclair and R. R. Smith. The Pukánszky invariant for masas in group von Neumann factors. *Illinois J. Math.*, 49(2):325–343 (electronic), 2005.
- [22] A. M. Sinclair and R. R. Smith. *Finite von Neumann algebras and masas*, volume 351 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [23] A. M. Sinclair, R. R. Smith, S. A. White, and A. Wiggins. Strong singularity of singular masas in II_1 factors. *Illinois J. Math.*, 51(4):1077–1083, 2007.

- [24] Ş. Strătilă and L. Zsidó. The commutation theorem for tensor products over von Neumann algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 165(2):293–346, 1999.
- [25] S. Wassermann. The slice map problem for C^* -algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 32(3):537–559, 1976.
- [26] S. Wassermann. Tensor products of free-group C^* -algebras. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 22(4):375–380, 1990.
- [27] S. Wassermann. Tensor products of maximal abelian subalgebras of C^* -algebras. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 50(2):209–216, 2008.

Author Addresses

Jan Cameron, Junsheng Fang
 Department of Mathematics
 Texas A&M University
 College Station, TX 77843
 USA
jcameron@math.tamu.edu
jfang@math.tamu.edu

Mohan Ravichandran
 Department of Mathematics
 University of New Hampshire
 Durham, NH 03824
 USA
mohanr@cisunix.unh.edu

Stuart White
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Glasgow
 Glasgow, G12 8QW
 UK
s.white@maths.gla.ac.uk